MEMO

TO: Kelly Hepler, Cabinet Secretary, South Dakota Game, Fish, & Parks

CC: Cynthia Longmire, Human Dimensions Specialist

FROM: America's Wildlife Values Research Team

Colorado State University

RE: Fish and Wildlife Agency Culture Survey Data for South Dakota Game, Fish, & Parks

As part of the America's Wildlife Values Project, South Dakota Game, Fish, & Parks participated in a survey of agency culture that measured employees' perspectives on fish and wildlife management and the culture of your agency. In particular, the survey looked at:

- Characteristics of your fish and wildlife agency
- Management priorities of your agency
- Processes for public & stakeholder inclusion in decision-making
- Employee perspectives on management and culture
- Wildlife Value Orientations of employees

Data were gathered in the South Dakota Game, Fish, & Parks using an online survey in May and June of 2018. All permanent full-time employees were offered the opportunity to participate. In total, **284** usable responses were obtained, for a response rate of **65%**.

Attached are descriptive statistics outlining responses to each of the items on this survey. In addition to this report, you will also receive a copy of the dataset used to run these analyses. You will notice that many demographic variables have been removed from this dataset to protect the anonymity of respondents, as promised in the original solicitation email and as required of us by law.

A report on our findings comparing culture across state fish and wildlife agencies and linking to public data through the America's Wildlife Values study will be sent to you separately in the fall.

We thank you for your help in this important research. If you have any questions regarding this state report, please contact Leeann Sullivan, the project's research assistant, at leeann sullivan@colostate.edu.

Index of Tables

Table 1: Employees' views on agency characteristics	3
Table 2: Employees' views on management priorities of the agency	4
Table 3: Employees' perspectives on fit within agency culture	4
Table 4: Employees' views on public and stakeholder participation	5
Table 5: Wildlife value orientation types among employees	6
Table 6: Employees' views on the future of global biodiversity	6
Table 7: Participation in non-work-related fish and wildlife activities	6
Table 8: Percent of respondents working in regional offices and central headquarters	7
Table 9: Percent of respondents working in each division.	7
Table 10: Years employed in your agency	7
Table 11: Age demographics in your agency	7
Table 12: Gender demographics in your agency	7
Table 13: Education demographics in your agency	8
Table 14: Racial demographics in your agency	8

Table 1: Employees' views on agency characteristics

	Very Uncharacteristic of my Agency	Somewhat Uncharacteristic of my Agency	Neither	Somewhat Characteristic of my Agency	Very Characteristic of my Agency
Protectors of the state's natural resources	1.1%	2.8%	1.4%	44.5%	50.2%
Experts in natural resource management	1.1%	1.4%	2.5%	42.0%	53.0%
Enforcers of relevant natural resource law	0.7%	2.5%	2.8%	39.4%	54.6%
Innovative in its approach to management	1.4%	9.2%	14.5%	52.1%	22.7%
Adaptable in the face of change	1.1%	13.8%	14.1%	51.6%	19.4%
Focused on promoting stewardship	2.1%	5.0%	8.9%	49.6%	34.4%
Compassionate towards fish and wildlife	1.4%	3.9%	7.8%	35.7%	51.2%
Willing to take risks	7.1%	25.4%	25.4%	35.7%	6.4%
Forward-looking	2.5%	12.7%	13.4%	47.7%	23.7%
Accountable for its actions	1.4%	8.8%	13.4%	47.3%	29.0%
Transparent and open	5.0%	12.8%	14.5%	41.1%	26.6%
Tolerant of different view points	3.9%	16.7%	20.6%	44.5%	14.2%
Equitable in its approach to management	3.2%	8.1%	16.6%	51.2%	20.8%
Focused on fair process	1.8%	6.7%	16.3%	50.4%	24.8%
Stands for integrity	2.1%	5.0%	11.7%	43.6%	37.6%
Takes advantage of new opportunities	1.8%	7.1%	15.2%	51.9%	24.0%

Question Text: Below is a list of phrases that may or may not describe your agency. We want to know how well <u>you</u> think each of these phrases characterizes your agency. Please indicate the extent to which you believe each phrase is uncharacteristic or characteristic of your agency by selecting one response for each.

Table 2: Employees' views on management priorities of the agency

If forced to choose, my agency would place greater priority on...

Protecting wildlife habitat	40.6%	Providing recreational opportunities	59.4%
Meeting the needs of the resources we manage	22.3%	Meeting the needs of the public	77.7%
Protecting endangered species	21.2%	Protecting game species	78.8%
Long-term planning	62.5%	Day-to-day management	37.5%
Efficiency	41.9%	Legitimacy	58.1%
Carnivores	13.8%	Ungulates	86.2%
Hunter recruitment and retention	75.9%	Reaching a diversity of interests	24.1%
Process	44.4%	Outcome	55.6%
Doing what is best	50.2%	Doing what is fair	49.8%
Tradition	75.2%	Innovation	24.8%
The present	53.8%	The future	46.2%
Science	35.7%	Politics	64.3%
Remaining true to our roots	42.4%	Adapting to changing social conditions	57.6%
Educating the public on what is right	30.6%	Listening to public concern	69.4%
Traditional stakeholders	60.4%	All members of the public	39.6%
Being proactive	39.1%	Being reactive	60.9%

Question Text: Managers are often forced to choose between competing demands for fish and wildlife management. We are interested in knowing, in general, how you view the priorities of your agency. Assuming a hypothetical situation in which only one management option was possible, we want to know how you believe your agency would prioritize different goals and objectives. Please respond to the following series of questions by picking one of the two response options for each comparison.

Table 3: Employees' perspectives on fit within agency culture

	Strongly Disagree	Slightly Disagree	Neither	Slightly Agree	Strongly Agree
I usually agree with how my agency prioritizes goals and objectives.	2.2%	11.2%	9.0%	63.5%	14.1%
With regard to the management of fish and wildlife, I believe my agency shares similar values to me.	1.4%	11.2%	12.0%	54.3%	21.0%
I believe my agency should focus more on adapting to change.	0.7%	10.1%	29.6%	42.2%	17.3%
In this agency, if someone acts in an inappropriate way, others will strongly disapprove.	2.9%	11.3%	21.1%	39.3%	25.5%
I believe my agency should focus more on being transparent and accountable to the general public.	2.2%	13.7%	37.9%	36.1%	10.1%
My employment at this agency is central to how I identify myself as a person.	5.4%	10.1%	20.2%	43.7%	20.6%
It is important to me that I fit in with the culture of my agency.	6.5%	13.0%	29.0%	39.1%	12.3%
I would like to be seen as a model agency employee.	1.1%	4.7%	16.6%	37.9%	39.7%
In this agency, there are clear expectations for how people should act in most situations.	2.5%	11.6%	12.6%	50.5%	22.7%
I feel a personal commitment to uphold the values of my agency.	0.4%	1.5%	5.5%	41.8%	50.9%
My agency provides adequate opportunities for employees to play a role in decision-making.	12.3%	25.3%	12.6%	35.0%	14.8%
People agree upon what behaviors are appropriate or inappropriate in most situations in this agency.	2.5%	9.0%	17.7%	55.2%	15.5%
The views of the public in my state are changing with regards to fish and wildlife management.	1.8%	13.4%	23.1%	45.5%	16.2%

Question Text: We want to know about the ways in which you personally identify with your agency. Please indicate the extent to which you disagree or agree with the following statements by selecting one response for each.

Table 4: Employees' views on public and stakeholder participation

At what level do you believe your agency	Non- participation	Education	Consultation	Representation	Partnership	Delegation	Complete Control
<u>currently</u> includes the general public in decision-making?	0.7%	5.1%	24.5%	33.3%	22.3%	12.5%	1.5%
<u>currently</u> includes paying stakeholders in decision-making?	2.7%	3.8%	15.2%	30.0%	29.3%	13.7%	5.3%
<u>should</u> include the general public in decision-making?	0.0%	2.6%	23.2%	41.5%	23.9%	7.0%	1.8%
should include paying stakeholders in decision- making?	4.2%	5.8%	20.0%	37.7%	26.2%	3.8%	2.3%

Question Text: Please indicate your answer to each of the following questions using the numbers listed next to each "rung" on the ladder (image below).

Public participation in decision-making has been conceptualized by researchers as a "ladder" with the bottom rungs representing minimal public involvement and the upper rungs representing significant opportunities for the public to shape decision-making, as described below.




Table 5: Wildlife value orientation types among employees




Table 6: Employees' views on the future of global biodiversity

Very Pessimistic	Moderately Pessimistic	Slightly Pessimistic	Neither	Slightly Optimistic	Moderately Optimistic	Very Optimistic
4.9%	14.6%	23.5%	15.7%	25.0%	15.3%	1.1%

Question Text: Research suggests that while some people in the conservation profession feel optimistic about the future of biodiversity, others may feel pessimistic. How would you describe your own views on the future of global biodiversity?

Table 7: Participation in non-work-related fish and wildlife activities

	Yes	No
Have you ever participated in recreational fishing?	99.6%	0.4%
Did you participate in recreational fishing in the past 12 months?	86.3%	13.7%
Have you ever participated in recreational hunting?	93.0%	7.0%
Did you participate in recreational hunting in the past 12 months?	81.9%	18.1%
Have you ever taken a recreational trip for which fish or wildlife viewing was the primary purpose of the trip?	71.1%	28.9%
Did you take any recreational trips in the past 12 months for which fish or wildlife viewing was the primary purpose of the trip?	54.3%	45.7%

Question Text: We would like to learn about your <u>non-work related</u> fish and wildlife activities. Please select one option for each question below.

Table 8: Percent of respondents working in regional offices and central headquarters

	Percent in Region		Percent in Region
Regional Office	82.5%	Headquarters	17.5%

Question Text: Do you work in a regional/field office or in your agency's headquarters? (Select one).

Table 9: Percent of respondents working in each division

	Percent in Division		Percent in Division
Fisheries	14.4%	Wildlife	21.9%
Enforcement	17.4%	Communication/Education/Outreach	5.9%
Parks/Land/Habitat	28.5%	Administration/Support	7.4%
Policy/Planning	1.5%	Other	3.0%

Question Text: How would you identify the division in which you work? (Select one).

Table 10: Years employed in your agency

	Years in Agency	Years in current position
0-1 years	5.6%	10.0%
2-5 years	20.8%	32.2%
6-10 years	20.8%	24.8%
11-20 years	32.0%	27.0%
21-30 years	13.0%	4.4%
30+ years	7.8%	1.5%

Question Text: a) How many years have you worked for your agency in total?

b) How many years have you worked in your current position?

Table 11: Age demographics in your agency

Age	Percent of Respondents
20-30	15.4%
31-40	33.2%
41-50	27.0%
51-60	18.9%
61+	5.4%
Average Age	42 years

Question Text: In what year were you born?

Table 12: Gender demographics in your agency

	Percent of Respondents
Male	80.7%
Female	19.3%

Question Text: Do you identify as ...?

Table 13: Education demographics in your agency

Percent of Respondents

Less than high school	0.4%
High school diploma or equivalent (e.g., GED)	7.8%
2-year associate's degree or trade school	7.1%
4-year college degree	59.9%
Advanced degree beyond 4-year college degree	24.9%

Question Text: What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Table 14: Racial demographics in your agency

Percent of Respondents

	Terceni oj Respondenis
White	91.9%
Black or African American	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	0.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.8%
Asian	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.0%
Other	1.1%

Question Text: Are you...? (Select one or more categories). Note respondents could select no responses or more than one.